

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,107 04/14/2004		Wayne O. Duescher	638.014US1	7549
75	90 03/09/2006	EXAMINER		
Mark A. Litma	an & Associates, P.A.	ELEY, TIMOTHY V		
York Business Center, Suite 205 3209 West 76th St. Edina, MN 55435			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					()P		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/824,10)7	DUESCHER, WA	YNE O.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Timothy V	. Eley	3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- p period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. utory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIC ent, however, may a reply be t ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this o IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on					
· · ·	, ,	b)☐ This action is n	on-final.				
	rosecution as to the	e merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	•	• • •	•	` '		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[_ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation of See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/824,107

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - Species I: claims 1,22-25, and 28.
 - Species II: claims 2-21.
 - Species III: claims 26,27, and 29.

The species are independent or distinct because the flexible abrasive disk(Species I) does not require that the spherical beads be made in the manner required by Species II, nor does it have to be conditioned in the manner required by Species III. Furthermore, the process of making the spherical beads is completely different from a process of conditioning the abrasive disk.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3724

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley

Application/Control Number: 10/824,107

Art Unit: 3724

whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pimothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724 Page 4

tve